P & A 3-12-1903 To The Comptroller.
1903

States that the retrenchment would not have been rendered necessary as argued by the Comptroller, as an Officer's claim to travelling allowances should be decided by the rules that were in force at the time, and not by those modified subsequently, under Article of the Civil Service Regulations.

Previous references.

Slot-2 R.H. Clerk 6th

190 .

(Salaries and Allowances)

File No. 629 of 1903-04

Serial Nos. 3-4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 3-4

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Retrenchment made by the Comptroller in the double first class fare claimed in the travelling allowance bill of the Vice-Presidents, Yukon City Municipal Commission, while return tickets were available.

3. (P. A 478) 442/I.F. 10-10-1905 From The Comptroller

States with reference to Govt Pro: No. 482-3 P/ I.F. 7-10-1905. that if Government had ordered otherwise than they did and if his Office had passed the bill for the full amount as claimed retrenchment and recovery would have been necessary, and that a supplemental bill was called for, for the amount retrenched and passed by this Office.

P&A 478

S&A 6298/1903-04
Serial 3

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

L. F. No. 442/47

Bangalore, 10th October 1903

From Henry Sayles.
~~E. R. SUBRAYER~~, Rsq.,
Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire
~~The~~ Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General & Revenue Depts,

Sir,

With reference to the remarks made in Government order No 402-3 P&A dated 17th September 1903 stating that the retrenchment made in the Travelling allowance bill of the Vice President, Mysore city Municipality for April 03 sanctioned vide S&A 55 of 1902-03 serials. in Government Order No 290* P&A dated 21st August 03 prior to the receipt of Government orders on the general question whether or not officers should be required to purchase Return tickets at Concession rates, is not correct, I am the honor to observe that if Government had ordered otherwise than they did and if this office had passed the bill for the full amount as claimed retrenchment and recovery

2nd

P&A-478

1903.

From Henry Eagles Esqr
Offg Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr
The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Rev & Genl Depts

Dated 10th October 1903.

Despatched 12th

Received

L. F. No. 442
No.

SUBJECT MATTER.

With reference to Govt Pro: No 482-3 P&A dated 17-9-1903 observes that if Govt had ordered otherwise than they did and if this office had passed the bill for the full amount as claimed retrenchment and recovery would have been necessary. Further states that a supplemental bill was called for, for the amount retrenched and passed by this office.

D. A
12-10-03

would have been necessary. Such retrenchments after audit is for obvious reasons incorrect and undignified. Under these circumstances the retrenchment was made. However on receipt of Government Order No 290 dated 21st August 1903, a Supplemental bill was called for the amount retrenched and passed by this office.

vid. S&A53 of 1902-03 & 5.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servt.
H Eagles
Asst. Comptroller
for Surveyor General
Mysore Bangalore

S&A 628 of 1903-04 .13
Serial 4

The Comptroller to the
Government of
Mysore

No. P&A 1008

Dy. 3rd December 1903.

His letter No. 442 d. 2.7
10th Octr 1903 Stating
with refce to the obser-
-vation made in Govt
Prov: No. 482-3 P&A d: 17th Sept
03, that the retrenchment
was made by him in
the travelling allce bill of
the Vice-President Mysore
City Municipality as
such retrenchment
would have been rendered
necessary, if Govt had
ordered otherwise than they
did in Prov: No. 290* P&A d 21/8/03
and if his office had
passed the ~~claim~~ bill
for the full amount
claimed.

Is there an express rule to this effect?
9/11/3

* vide S&A 535 of 1902-03 Serial 5.

With refce to the above
has the honor to state
that the retrenchment
would not have been
rendered necessary
as argued by the
Comptroller, as an
offered

officer's claim & travelling
allces should be decided
by the rules that were
in force at the time + not
but not by those modified
subsequently, under art. 3 of the C.S Regns

B. A.

26/11/3
Secretary

DWB
1/11/03

WB
14/11/03.